



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/215,095	12/18/1998	NATHANIEL T. BECKER	GC507-2	7801
5100	7590 03/16/2004		EXAM	INER
GENENCOR INTERNATIONAL, INC.			BORIN, MICHAEL L	
ATTENTION: LEGAL DEPARTMENT 925 PAGE MILL ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PALO ALTO,	PALO ALTO, CA 94304		1631	
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ź
Š
0

Applicant(s) Application No. BECKER ET AL. 09/215,095 Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner 1631 Michael Borin -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely If NO period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 January 2004. 2b) This action is non-final. 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) Claim(s) 66-69,72-76 and 78-107 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 66-69,72-76 and 78-107 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____ 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 6) __ Other: ____. Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Appellant's Brief on Appeal has been filed 01/23/2004. 1.

Upon review of the case it was deemed necessary to add a new ground of 2. rejection in order to complete the record before forwarding the application to the Board of Appeals. Consequently, the finality of the Office action mailed 04/30/2003 is withdrawn.

Claims 66-69,72-76,78-107 are pending.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created 3. doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321° may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double Serial Number: 09/215095

Art Unit: 1631

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 66-69,72-76,78-107 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine 4. of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim of U.S. Patent No. 6,413,749. An obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but an examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the referenced claim(s) because the examined claim is either anticipated, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg,140 F.3d 1428,46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir.1998); In re Goodman,11 F.3d 1046,29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir.1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887,225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir.1985). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the '749 claims teach granules comprising a seed particle, a protein matrix which includes protein, sugar, and corn starch (i.e., polysaccharide), and coating layer(s).

Serial Number: 09/215095

Art Unit: 1631

Further, in regard to instant claims drawn to synthetic polymers (claim 82) and particular species of coating (claims 102,103), although the claims of '749 are not specifically drawn to such species. However, the portion of '749 that supports the claimed granules teaches that the granules may contain other excipients well known to those skilled in the art such as synthetic polymers (e.g., polyvinyl alcohol), or antiagglomeration agents (e.g., TiO₂). See col. 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- Claims 66-69, 72-76, 78-107 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 5. unpatentable over by Arnold et al. (US Patent 5,324,649). The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6. examiner should be directed to Michael Borin whose telephone number is (571) 272-0713. Dr. Borin can normally be reached between the hours of 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. EST Monday to Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Michael Woodward, can be reached on (571) 272-0722.

Serial Number: 09/215095

Art Unit: 1631

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0549.

March 9, 2004

MICHAEL BORIN, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

mlb